



Our Lady of Mt. Carmel

1730 North Stillwell Rd. , Boston Kentucky 40107 USA

October 25, 2017 St. Isidore the Farmer

Dear Friends and Benefactors,

On the weekend Oct. 27-29, 2017 there is scheduled a Catholic Identity Conference near Steubenville, Ohio sponsored by the Remnant Newspaper, during which an SSPX Priest, Fr. Loop, will give a talk, as well as a Fraternity of St. Peter Priest, an Institute of Christ the King priest, a Novus Ordo Roman Rite Bishop (Bishop Schneider), and a Novus Ordo Eastern Rite Bishop. Michael Matt and other lay persons will chime in as well on the subject of “Catholic Identity, Vatican II and ‘Where do we go from here.’” The question should rather be : **How did it happen that we arrived here?**

In 1988 when the FSSP was founded, at that time Archbishop Marcel Lefebvre seeing the Indult Mass begun—the Latin Mass with the approval of Rome on condition of acceptance of Vatican II, he said: “the people must make up their minds.” They have the Latin Mass. Yes they have the Latin Mass but “they have betrayed us, they have betrayed us, they have betrayed us.” That was 1988-89. Now we find 29 years later that all are together as friends in one meeting—which in fact is a traditional versions of Assisi. That’s all it is. How did we get here?

The first Assisi was begun as an ecumenical meeting of all religions. Bishop Murphy of Rockville Center NY, was there at that meeting of Assisi. He told me that he was the one who invented the expression “we come together to Pray” for the first Assisi meeting because there was a great dispute. How can we pray together with non-Catholics when we know that the Catholic religion is the religion that is pleasing to God and the other religions are not pleasing to God? How can we pray together when were taught as Catholics not to pray with others? Therefore Bishop Murphy came up with the expression, “No we are not ‘praying together’ at Assisi in 1986, but rather “we are gathering together to pray.” They thought that was a very good solution. We’re not praying together but we’re gathering together to pray. So that Catholics will pray, the Catholic way with Catholics. And right next to them you’ll have a Buddha on the tabernacle with the Buddhist doing their thing in the Buddhist way and we’re all together in order to pray. This kind of ecumenism is acceptable, whereas it would be wrong if we were to pray together.

So they discussed the distinctions. Very similar distinctions to the distinctions that the Pharisees, the Sadducees and the Scribes made in discussion on Holy Thursday night and Good Friday morning. The distinction between the money that was used from the treasury from the corbonam to pay for Christ’s crucifixion and the money that was given back. The money that was used to pay for His crucifixion came from the corbonam and that money

“going out to betray” was okay whereas Judas’s “returning money for one having been betrayed” (the 30 pieces of silver), well that money coming back, that money could not be returned to the corbonam. **The money going out to pay someone to be a traitor, was OK. But when a traitor gives back the money, that’s bad.** We can’t have a traitor give back the money so we’re going to take the money of the traitor and buy a field to bury the corpses of strangers. We can use the money of the Church to betray God but we can’t take betrayal money to give back to God. That would be awful!” Such were their distinctions. These distinctions are what we see now in the modern conservative movement. Vatican II’s pastoral doctrines are not the problem only its pastoral directives and attitude. **This kind of distinguishing is demonic. But from whence does it come? Who’s responsible for it?**

When the whole modern movement of the betrayal of the Church began, it began under the guidance of Masons within the Church. It began with Satanists inside the Church. It began with wicked men deliberately, secretly, and slowly deceiving the Catholics of the world thus bringing about a corruption of the Church.

THE FIVE MONKEYS

But that’s not where we are today. Today we’re in a different situation. We can say that we’re in a time of the fifth new monkey of the experiment of the five monkeys. There were once some scientists who took five monkeys and put them in a cage along with a banana hung over their heads. The monkeys who like bananas went to grab the banana. As each monkey reached for the banana, a guard with a fire hose blasted the monkeys with water. Soon they realized, reaching for the banana meant pain by being blasted with the water hose. So they stopped reaching for the banana and let the banana hang there. Now, after a period of time, the scientists took one of the monkeys out, put a new monkey in. The new monkey saw the banana. He reached for the banana. The other four monkeys grabbed him and beat him up. He was never sprayed with water. So he realized it’s not worth getting beat up, and hence he didn’t go for the banana. They replaced a second monkey then the third monkey and the fourth. So when they replaced the first, second, third and fourth monkey, all the other monkeys beat the new monkey up, and by the time the five original monkeys were gone, in comes the fifth new monkey. When that fifth monkey comes in, none of the monkeys inside the cage had ever been sprayed with water. But if any monkey reaches for the banana, he gets beaten up.

This is the purpose of Masonic infiltration and the purpose of Communist changing of culture. In the beginning you need the torture and you need the guard to stand there with the water gun.

But after a time, the guard can go take a break and go on vacation. The Mason is needed in the beginning to watch over things to make sure that things are guided in a new direction. But after a time, the Mason can leave. You will notice, for instance in America, that there are Masonic lodges in every single one of our towns. If the town has three people in it, two of them are Masons and there's a Masonic lodge. There may not be a gas station. There may not be a convenience store, but there is a lodge. But today the average age of their members is about 102. They're just like the nuns in the convents and like the monks. Does that mean Masonry is dying? Absolutely not. The Masons have achieved their purpose. They have changed the monkeys. They have changed the people to think like Masons, to act like Masons, even though they don't know what a Mason is. They never joined a Masonic lodge and they never studied Masonry but yet have been changed.

Enter the fifth monkey. **The fifth monkey is Michael Matt. The fifth monkey is Chris Ferrara. The fifth monkey is the conservative movement.** Each comes in and says, *"What's the problem with the Church? Well you see, the problem is not Vatican II. We heard once upon a time that if you reach for the truth, this water gun of Vatican II blasted you and you were a heretic and you were a schismatic and you had to believe in these modern heresies. You had to believe in these modern errors. But in fact, that's not the problem. Because yesterday, I tried to reach for a banana and all that happened was that another monkey beat me up. If only we had nice monkeys, everything would be fine. The problem is, there's too many mean monkeys in the world. And all we have to do is make nice monkeys and then we can all eat bananas. This is the problem."*

So here we are, **we're in the age of the fifth monkey.** All these monkeys are gathering together. They're all gathering in Steubenville, it's a good place for them to meet. They're all gathering together where they have Scott Hahn and a center of the conservative movement of EWTN nearby. They are meeting right there in order to appeal to those people, the conservative standard Novus Ordo movement that's not Traditional.

THE FALSE PROBLEM OF THE FIFTH MONKEY

And then you have the so-called traditional movement. They're all gathering together to say, "You know what the problem is? The problem is those monkeys in the Vatican. They're all a bunch of mean monkeys. That's the problem. The problem is that we have cardinals and bishops with **attitudes** that are a problem. They have a **spirit** that's a problem." This is **the attitude and the spirit of Vatican II.** You can see a little "Catacombs" interview of Michael Matt and Christopher Ferrara a short time ago, on the website of the Remnant newspaper. And in that interview, they point out a "**Rome Reports**" news report which says, "**around 80 theologians, experts in the traditionalist field, who do not accept Vatican II, have signed a document accusing Pope Francis of 7 heresies** (<http://www.romereports.com/en/2017/09/29/pope-responds-to-criticism-of-amoris-laetitia-its-respectable-but-wrong/>)." These signatories are accused of rejecting Vatican II by this report. However, Michael Matt and Chris Ferrara, who have become icons of Catholic Tradition, respond by saying that to be against Pope Francis does not mean that one is against Vatican II, but rather against heterodoxy in the Faith. Chris Ferrara explains, "I'm a Catholic. What is this 'Vatican II thing' we're supposed to 'accept' and what is it that we 'reject' when we reject Vatican II. I believe the teachings of the Catholic Faith. I believe what the

Catholic faith teaches, what the Catholic Church teaches. Therefore, I don't accept heterodox teaching. It's not about Vatican II. It's a meaningless statement 'we reject Vatican II'. What does it mean, we "reject Vatican II"? This statement has no meaning except this: you're not one of the chosen guys. That is, you're not one of the mean monkeys. You're not one of the chosen guys. You're not part of this movement that's a liberalization of the Catholic Church. **When we say we reject Vatican II, all we mean is that we reject the liberalization of the Catholic Church.** That's what we mean. We know there was a Council. When you look at that Council, you see that there's no actual dogmatic statement in the Council that says you must believe this proposition. So therefore, it's a non-dogmatic council. It's only an expression of an attitude and a spirit and a pastoral direction. And no man can be held to believe in a spirit or pastoral direction. So therefore, there are no errors or heresies in the Council. The Council has been a disaster. The Council has been terrible. The Council has been awful. How has it been awful and terrible? Because the spirit of that Council and the direction of that Council has been awful. And we have people in the Church today who have the spirit of the Council in them. They must remove that spirit."

Now, these are the statements that should come from a Mason or from a card-carrying Satanist! They are statements that should come from a Communist who is being paid by the Politburo to say these foolish things. They're not. They're coming from monkeys. They are coming from men that are not wicked. They're coming from men that are not evil, not guilty, men who have a clean conscience. What is the effect?

Consider one young boy, 18 years of age and another young boy, also 18 years old. One of them meets the local girl with five thousand tattoos, spots from various drug injections, and is a local prostitute. He falls madly in love. The other 18-year-old boy meets a really nice protestant girl who's deeply religious, spiritual and nice. Which one loses the faith forever?—the one that met the prostitute girl. Eventually, the first one with the rotten evil girl will say to himself, "I can't stay this way, I have to leave this evil life!" He may thus go back to the truth. But the boy with the nice girl who believes in the protestant doctrines which lead the souls of her children and her husband to hell—the nice girl that is nicely belonging to the kingdom of Satan—that girl stays glued to the boy and he loses his faith and he never comes back. How does the revolution get sealed?

It was pointed out by Fr. Chazal back in 2012, he said, we're in the stage of the 'thermalization' or the burning-in of the revolution. How does the revolution get sealed? If a revolution requires the guy with a gun to always be there, the revolution is a failure. If the revolution requires the Communist leader to always be implementing his Communism, the revolution is a failure. The revolution succeeds by getting the ordinary man and especially the good man and the just man to believe in the lies of the revolution, to believe in the errors of the revolution.

THE TRUE PROBLEM OF THE FIFTH MONKEY

Why did the fifth monkey not reach for a banana? Because there was a guard with a water hose who was a jerk. It was not because of the other monkeys. There was a man with a water hose that sprayed whoever reached for a banana. That's the problem. And the water hose is still there. They don't reach for the banana.

The other monkeys beat them up. But why do they beat them up? Because there's a water hose and a mean guard. The water hose can be shut off. The mean guard can go on vacation. But it's still the reason and it caused the problem.

THE SITUATION TODAY

In our situation in the Church today, we have a very grave problem, one in which we are seeing the prophecy of St. Francis of Assisi being fulfilled. He spoke of the deceit of the Devil which shall grow so far, that it will deceive, if possible, even the elect. **We are at the stage now where the good men are telling us, "Forget about the Council. The Council is a dead letter."** Even my own brother and other priests of the SSPX are saying, "Pope Francis has gone beyond the Council. Pope Francis is worse than the Council." Is he?

Modernism has been a heresy for over 200 years in the Church condemned by St. Pius X in 1907. The modernists of 1907, what did they say? All religion must be eradicated. There is no Catholic God. There is no morality indicated by the Church. It's an invention only of men. Morality is invented by us. Therefore, homosexuality may be good. Marriage may become evil. All the wicked things that are promoted today were promoted by them in 1907. Condemned by St. Pius X in 1907. This is not new! Pope Francis is not a new heretic. Pope Francis is not implementing a new religion. He is simply putting into practice the heresies and the errors of Vatican II.

Vatican II is the problem. It was created by human beings who were Masons and Masonry is still the problem today. But it is a more serious problem today because the Masonic agenda is now being run by non-Masons, many of whom don't even like Masons.

The conservative movement, the false resistance, the false movement of the conservatives, these are unwittingly acting as today's principle soldiers in the kingdom of Satan. They are the ones who are making sure that whoever tries to truly rise up against the devil will not shoot at the devil but rather at straw men.

Consider one important statement of Chris Ferrara and Michael Matt in the recent Remnant interview. "We are against a liberalization of the Church."

What is liberalization? It's an ongoing, moving thing. Liberalization - a changing, a movement, a negative change. Can you stop every liberal? Where do we start? When is liberalization acceptable and when is it unacceptable? One could say for instance, liberalization of the Church might be to move a feast day as they did in the 1950's. Liberalization might be to add a genuflection where there shouldn't be one or to remove one where there should be one. An example of unacceptable liberalization might be for some what Pius XII did in 1945 when he changed the psalter from the original psalter of St. Jerome to the new psalter of Cardinal Bea, found in all breviaries from 1945 to 1961. There's no heresy in that psalter. It's just the words are all different. The 1955 Holy week changes are the point for others, such as most Sedevacantists, for others the changes after 1962 are too much. They can each be called a level of liberalization.

Where do we stop? Some people will say, well, the liberalization of changing anything, we're going to reject. Others will say, well, liberalization up to 25% but not up to 32%. Up to 49% but

not up to 73%. Where does it start and where does it stop? There's no beginning and there's no end. Being against a movement only, has no clear doctrine behind it.

To answer mush with mush creates more mush. It doesn't fix anything. **There is a doctrinal problem.** That is, Vatican II and the new Church teach things that are against Jesus Christ and therefore must be condemned period. **There is error and there is heresy in the Council.**

Further, there is no way in which one can have a pastoral instruction without a dogma. Here's an example of a pastoral instruction. Don't touch my Lamborghini! That's a pastoral instruction. "Don't touch it." It's just a directive. Is there any doctrine in it? There is much doctrine in this directive. The principle doctrine is: I own that car! Another doctrine is: there's a real car there. If a beggar tells you, "don't touch my Lamborghini," don't worry about it. Number one, there isn't one. If there is, it's not his. He is lying. There's a doctrine. The doctrine is: I own something and it's mine. The owner has the right to say who can and can't touch it. If the owner says don't touch it, you can't touch it. He is either telling the truth and he really owns the car, or he is telling a lie and doesn't own the car. If he tells the truth, his doctrine is right. And the moral statement, "Don't touch my Lamborghini," is a correct statement. If the Lamborghini doesn't exist, or it's not his car, then because of the doctrinal error, you can touch it. There is no possibility of making any pastoral directive which does not have a doctrinal foundation and which is not necessarily doctrinal. It is impossible to make a statement that is not doctrinal.

Therefore, to say that Vatican II is a pastoral and non-doctrinal Council is absurd. To say there is no teaching in Vatican II and therefore we can say it's not heretical is absurd. When we say the Catholic religion subsists in the True Church, it means that other religions may also subsist in the True Church. That's a heresy. When we say that non-Catholics can receive Holy Communion if they believe in the Blessed Sacrament, it means that non-Catholics are in the state of grace, friends of God, having the True Faith, which are lies. These are doctrinal errors. They are heresies and therefore it's a mortal sin and sacrilege for a protestant to receive Holy Communion. But the New Code of Canon Law says he can. And the same is true of the other pastoral directions that are immoral contained in the modern teachings. They all have a doctrinal foundation. Can grandma be cremated? Can you bury her ashes in the Church? Oh, yes you can. If you can bless grandma's ashes, what does that mean? It means it is moral to desecrate the body of grandma. It means it's moral to obliterate and treat with the most vile disrespect a body that is a temple of the Holy Ghost. And that's an error. That is against God. **There is no moral statement, there is no pastoral directive that is not doctrinal.** What makes us Catholic? We find Catholics telling us we can still hold our truth and not worry about the pastoral directives of Vatican II and not consider them as doctrines. What does St. Athanasius tell us in his Creed? "Unless a man believes all and holds holy and firmly every doctrine of the Church, without doubt he must perish." **It matters what we believe!**

Now we have Society of St. Pius X priests gathering together with Indult priests, with Fraternity of St. Peter priests. The Fraternity of St. Peter was formed specifically to destroy us. It's like Lucifer and St. Michael getting together for an Identity Conference. We are enemies standing on two different sides of the fence. One

is with God and the other is not. Or the other is with God and the one is not. But if we are both together, then both are against God. For God is never on two sides holding two positions. He is never standing together with the enemies of Christ, the enemies of the truth.

“Where do we go from here?” It’s a psychological message. The days of you Traditional Catholics fighting against Vatican II, it’s over. Nobody talks about Vatican II anymore, so forget about it. Let’s just start with “Where do we go from here?”. You can think Vatican II is bad. I can think Vatican II is good or Vatican II is bad, you can think it’s good. No one cares. It’s just an attitude. It’s just a spirit. What matters is, what are we going to do about Cardinal Burke? What are we going to do about Cardinal Mueller? What are we going to do about Pope Francis? What are we going to do about the real problems of today, which are those people? And yet, when our ancestors stood in front of emperors and were put to death, what did they say? What I believe is what matters. And what you believe is what matters. The sacred truth is what matters. And what destroys is the lie of the devil. We love all individuals. We love Pope Francis. We love Cardinal Mueller. We want all of them to repent and come back to God if they are his enemy. We want them to remain faithful if they are his friend. But we know that whoever believes in his heart the lie of the devil, must be damned. Whoever believes in his heart the truth of God and operates firmly according to it, must be saved. Hence, it really matters, the doctrine and all other things flow from it. When we find Catholics gathering together in unity, what unity? Father Loop is going to be there at the conference next week. He’s a “vagus,” according to Rome. He’s not approved. He doesn’t say Mass in an approved Church. He doesn’t have paperwork that says he’s approved. And yet he’ll be there with the approved priests and they’re all going to approve of each other and they’re all going to talk nice things.

Guaranteed the most Catholic conference, the most Catholic content will not be found in the SSPX priest. It won’t be found there. Look at the response to Amoris Laetitia, the document written by forty Novus Ordo priests and forty Novus Ordo theologians. They say clearly and explicitly, “Pope Francis, you taught seven heresies.” These heresies must be condemned. Now look at the exact same commentary made by Bishop Fellay, Father Gleize and Father Gaudron, the priests of the Society, the official SSPX

commentary. “Pope Francis’s Amoris Laetitia is not heretical but it’s dangerous, it’s imperfect, it can be understood in a wrong way.” SSPX says: “not heretical, dangerous, can be understood in an imperfect way; be concerned.” Novus Ordo priests say: seven heresies and by the way, there’s more than seven heresies, they say. We’re just enunciating these seven. The Novus Ordo priest speaks clearly. The Novus Ordo priest speaks the truth. And the Traditional priest speaks: “Blah, blah, blah,” like an adult on the cartoon Peanuts.

This is not the way of Christ. “*corruptio optimi pessimus.*” The corruption of the best is the worst. We can’t have this. Let’s stand for the sacred truth and realize we’re in a dogmatic fight. Yes, the Freemasons started it. But now the good people are thinking like Freemasons. Vatican II is the heresy. Now we’re saying forget about Vatican II. But it’s still the reason the monkeys are beating each other up. It’s still the reason for our crisis.

So let’s stand with Christ and not with the anti-Christ. What is he? He’s a monkey. The Fathers tell us that. That the devil and the anti-Christ are the ape of Christ. They’ll look a little bit like him. But they’re apes. They’re not human. They’re not divine. They’re demonic. Don’t act like an ape. Don’t act like a monkey. Don’t monkey see, monkey do. But follow the teaching of Christ, follow the way of our ancestors. Stand firmly with the truth and hold it firmly in our hearts. And then we will live forever the friends of God and survive the great coming chastisement. St. Francis says in his prophecy before he died, when the time of the test comes, because they didn’t stand for the truth, they shall fail.

We must stand for the truth. Stand firmly for the truth. And when the proving and testing comes, Our Lady will give us the strength, the Mediatrix of All Grace, to come out the other side, victors with Christ.

In Christ,

Fr. Joseph Pfeiffer
Fr. Joseph Pfeiffer

(Adapted from Sermon in Long Prairie Minnesota, Oct. 21, 2017 <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=N2RFV3LnKr8>)

Nota Bene

The new seminary year began as usual with Ignatian retreats, 16 ladies attended the women’s retreat and 27 on the men’s retreat at the end of September and beginning of October.

The usual routine of prayers, classes and work projects is now underway. This year we seventeen seminarians and brothers have begun the school year, eleven new aspirants and six returning. The October is included in this mailing with poor souls card. It can be returned online via email to marco-sandolini333@yahoo.com or via snail mail.

Websites to help keep the Faith

- inthissignyoushallconquer.com
- stmaryskssspmc.com
- ourladyofmountcarmelusa.com
- catholiccandle.neocities.org
- TheRecusant.com
- cor-mariae.com
- www.YouTube.com/469fitter (NB: Holy Mass may be followed with spiritual communion on this site)