

Tony La Rosa

Subject: FW: Archbishop Vigano

From: Chazal Francois

Sent: January 13, 2024 9:33 AM

To: Tony La Rosa

Subject: Re: Archbishop Vigano

Yes, that is more or less my position. I agree with Fr Kramer, Archbishop Vigano on the very grave and immediate consequences of pertinacious heresy.
fc+

On Sat, Jan 13, 2024 at 8:46 PM Tony La Rosa wrote:

Okay. The main point that I want to get across to people is that you hold that Jorge Bergoglio has not been conferred the papal munus by Jesus Christ, that is, he is not ontologically pope. Therefore, to you Jorge Bergoglio's "papal" acts are valid via supplied jurisdiction and not via habitual jurisdiction. However, none of his "papal" acts are licit.

I agree with you that he is not ontologically pope, but I have to dig deeper about the valid but not licit "papal" acts part. If your Contra Cekada book explains your position elaborately rather than primarily being an attack on Sedevavatism, then I will purchase it from Mr. Akins.

Sent from my iPhone

On Jan 13, 2024, at 7:27 AM, Chazal Francois wrote:

yes, but specify it is over the cuff...

On Sat, Jan 13, 2024 at 7:46 PM Tony La Rosa wrote:

Dear Fr. Chazal,

Thank you. I am more clear now on your position.

May I make your e-mail public? I think it will clarify things in the minds of many.

God bless.

Tony La Rosa

Sent from my iPhone

On Jan 12, 2024, at 11:29 PM, Chazal Francois wrote:

It is like a murderer before impeachment from office.
Yes, per se, bergoglio is not the pope, but the law has not caught up on him and he still plays the idiot on the balcony of St Peter.

note the expression of Pope Leo XIII, on the throne of Peter they have put the throne of their abominable impiety.

sspx denies the per se consequence of heresy, and the need to separate from heretics immediately, upon their heresy becoming manifest

sedes deny the juridical, public nature of the Catholic Church and the need to keep the apostolic succession promised, even via delinquents in the faith. the catholic church never said that the pope is impeccable in the faith if he does not engage his infallible power. if you deny this, you deny all treaties on papal infallibility. infallibility has conditions.

we made too much of the papacy, because we had a succession of very good popes; bishop Williamson has talked a lot about this.

fc+

On Thu, Jan 11, 2024 at 10:53 AM Tony La Rosa wrote:

Dear Fr. Chazal,

I wish you a blessed New Year!

I have a question. In the article linked below, you wrote to Dr. Chojnowski the following:

"2) Guerard used the wrong distinction. I stated that in my booklet in the conclusion i wish you to read. Conciliar popes (Not just pope Francis, but others, and especially Benedict, and i want to be abundantly clear about that!) defect per se from office, and in this i totally concur with Fr Kramer. Yet the Church remains a juridical and public society, who, even in normal times, takes time to catch up with heretical delinquents.

Too many people having not caught up with the heresies of Benedict, is the proof of a need to declare heresies."

When you wrote "defect per se from office", do you mean that you do not hold Jorge Bergoglio a true pope? I am not clear by this on whether you hold Jorge Bergoglio ontologically as pope, that is, do you hold that Christ has conferred upon Jorge Bergoglio the papal munus (true pope) or not? My understanding previously is that you hold Jorge Bergoglio as the true pope in the eyes of God, but after reading the above, I am not so sure.

God bless.

Tony La Rosa

<https://radtradthomist.chojnowski.me/2018/02/franciswar-continues-to-heat-up.html>